

August Court --- 1732 . . . . 227

same as such Order as he was obliged to, by a former agreement with the Court in that Case made; & they to make their Report to next Court - At which said Next Court to wit the fifteenth day of August and Dow. One thousand seven hundred and thirty two came h[ear] into Court as well the aforesaid John Dunn; as the aforesaid James Martin and William Lare the Yeoman who were appointed by the Court to view and inspect the said Mile Dam and gate, and reported to this Court that the aforesaid Mile Dam and gate were passable and in good repair according to the aforesaid former contract &c Wherefore it is considered that the aforesaid presentment be quashed, and that the said John Dunn be thenceforth quiet and goe hence without day fee -

At. John Stewart . . . . Command was given to the Sheriff of Somerset County that he should take the said Aristobulus Christopher to law Christofer late of Somerset County Shipwright if he should be found in his -

Injuries of this Lordships County Court of Somerset to be held at Bridgwater the third merday of August then next to answer unto John Stewart of ye plater of the gaff upon the case he and that he thereof should not fail &c - And therefore said John Stewart by Francis Allen his attorney Complaineth against the said Aristobulus Christofer in the plea aforesaid as followeth vid.

Answer unto John Stewart of ye plater of the gaff upon the case he -

And whereupon the said John by Francis Allen his attorney complaineth that whereas the said Aristobulus within the Jurisdiction of this Court had accounted together with the said John of Bridgwater sum of no: money by the said Aristobulus to the said John before that time due being and unpaid and upon that account the said Aristobulus then and there was found in Arrears to the said John the full and just sum of seventeen pounds seventeen shillings and four pence current money aforesaid and being so found in arrears the said Aristobulus then and there did faithfully promise that the said Aristobulus the said seventeen pounds seventeen shillings and four pence to the said John when he should be thenceunto requested he would well and faithfully pay and content nevertheless the said Aristobulus his promise and assumption aforesaid in form aforesaid made nothing regarding but plotting and fraudulently deterring the said John in that part secretly and publicly to receive and defraud the said seventeen pounds seventeen shillings and four pence to the said John also the same to do the said Aristobulus by the said John on the aforesaid third day of November in the year aforesaid and often afterwards at the County aforesaid within the Jurisdiction aforesaid he was thenceunto requested both not paid or contented but the same to him written to pay or content hath refused and still doth refuse and deny to the damage of the said John of thirty pounds four shillings and money of Maryland and therupon he brings suit . . . ff Allen Esq. Proctor for the said R Rose

A copy of the foregoing Declaration was made and sent to be served on the defendant according to Law with the writ aforesaid -

At which said third merday of August to wit the fifth day of the same month and year One thousand seven hundred and thirty two being the day of return